

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/737,233	12/15/2003	Anthony Lopez	LOPEZ-P001	6368	
75	90 05/06/2005		EXAM	EXAMINER	
Fernandez & Associates, LLP PO Box D			FRECH, KARL D		
Menlo Park, CA 94026-6402			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2876	-	
		DATE MAILED: 05/06/2005			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office A.A. O	10/737,233	LOPEZ ET AL.	Cur			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Karl D. Frech	2876				
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence addres	is			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a r - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perions - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state than three months after the may be a patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be to eply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDON	imely filed ays will be considered timely. The mailing date of this commu ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nication,			
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	·					
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ TI	nis action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice unde	r <i>Ex par</i> te <i>Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 4	153 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-25</u> is/are pending in the application	าก					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-25</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	l/or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exami	ner.					
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>15 December 2003</u> is		ted to by the Examiner	•			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre	ection is required if the drawing(s) is ol	bjected to. See 37 CFR 1.	121(d).			
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attached Office	e Action or form PTO-1	52.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:	gn priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(ε	a)-(d) or (f).				
1.☐ Certified copies of the priority docume	nts have been received	·				
2. Certified copies of the priority docume		tion No				
3.☐ Copies of the certified copies of the pr			je			
application from the International Bure	•					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a li	st of the certified copies not receiv	ed.				
	•					
Attachment(s)						
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Summary	v (PTO-413)				
P) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail D	Date				
 Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	8) 5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:	Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Application/Control Number: 10/737,233 Page 2

Art Unit: 2876

1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
- 3. Claims 1-15,17-24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Mitschelle et al 5,777,951. Mitschelle discloses a parking meter 10 with an associated parking space 12. There is disclosed a remote monitoring station 16. The parking meter 10 includes a microcontroller 18 and a timer 20. There is disclosed a vehicle detector 22 which is focused at the parking space 12 and coupled to the microcontroller 18. The vehicle detector detects the presence or absence of a vehicle. A communication modem 26 is also associated with the parking meter, as is a digital camera 28 that is also focused on the parking space 12. See column 2 line 58 column 3 line 10. It is disclosed that when the presence of a vehicle is detected but no payment has been received, the camera takes a picture of the license plate. See

Application/Control Number: 10/737,233 Page 3

Art Unit: 2876

column 3 lines 11-44. Mitschelle does not specifically disclose an unique identification code for the individual parking meters in the network. However, Mitschelle does disclose a one to one correspondence between a parking meter and a single parking space. Official Notice is taken that assigning identifiers, such as numbers, to discrete objects in a plurality of similar objects is old and well known. It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to provide a unique identification code for an individual parking space/meter. This would facilitate location of a subject parking meter for parking enforcement and accounting purposes. Mitschelle does not disclose that the housing of the parking meter is titanium. Notice is also taken that titanium is old and well known. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to house the parking meter in titanium for its inherent strength, durability and resistance to the elements. Mitshelle does not disclose towing violating vehicles. Notice is also taken that tow trucks and enforcement of parking by use of towing is old and well known. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to two violating vehicles in order to enforce parking restrictions.

4. Claims 16,25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Mitschelle as applied to claims 1-15,17-24 above, and further in view of Bucholz et al US 2004/0201460A1. Mitschelle does not disclose that the parking meter is used in connection with the Amber Alert system. Bucholz discloses connecting cameras incorporated into traffic management systems to the Amber Alert system [0051]. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to

Application/Control Number: 10/737,233 Page 4

Art Unit: 2876

incorporate the camera system of Mitschelle into the Amber Alert system, as this would positively locate a subject vehicle to aid in the rapid rescue of violated individuals.

5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Yost et al 6,229,455 and Takahashi et al 5,646,853 both disclose parking/traffic control systems.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Karl D. Frech whose telephone number is (571) 272-2390. The examiner can normally be reached on maxi-flex.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael G. Lee can be reached on (571) 272-2398. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Karl D Frech Primary Examiner Art Unit 2876